

Comments on the paper by Coveney and Penrose 'On the validity of the Brussels formalism in statistical mechanics'

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1993 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 3905

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/26/15/038>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.68

The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 19:24

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

COMMENT

Comments on the paper by Coveney and Penrose 'On the validity of the Brussels formalism in statistical mechanics'

C D George and I Prigogine
Université libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium

Received 18 February 1993

The authors state, in the introductory section of their paper [1], that they are looking only at a 'small part' of the Brussels formalism. Curiously, the title of their paper does not reflect this restriction and refers to the general validity of the Brussels formalism. The reader may easily verify that in the recent references (reference [4] given by the authors in [1]), none of the assumptions discussed in their paper is used.

The criticisms are focused on a few papers published about twenty years ago. However, even restricted to these papers, their claims do not apply. Let us point out two major misrepresentations:

- No assumption of an underlying Hilbert space structure was ever considered as a part of the Brussels formalism, neither at that time nor since. On the contrary, it was even shown (see [2]) that the Brussels scheme cannot be realized within a normed space framework. The need to go to generalized spaces, such as rigged spaces, was lately emphasized in various papers (see [3]).

- No finite-dimensional subspaces were ever introduced by the Brussels school, as the superoperator P was chosen to project on the vacuum of correlation, in both classical and quantum systems. In the quantum case, for instance, the projector selects the infinite set of diagonal elements of the density operator ρ in a suitable basis and is therefore infinite-dimensional. The 'minimum requirement' of an infinite-dimensional subspace, stated by the authors for including the 'long-time tails', was thus met.

A single exception is reference [11] of their paper, where a one-dimensional subspace related to a projection on the 'relevant' subsystem, namely a discrete level, has been used.

References

- [1] Coveney P V and Penrose O 1992 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 25 4947-66
- [2] Courbage M 1982 *J. Math. Phys.* 23 646, 652
Obcemea Ch and Brändas E 1983 *Ann. Phys., NY* 151 383
- [3] 1992 Discussion of I Prigogine's contribution to the XXth Solvay Conference on Physics *Phys. Rep.* 219 109
Antoniou I 1993 *2nd Int. Wigner Symposium* to appear
Antoniou I and Prigogine I 1992 *Physica A* in press